

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that the issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

We refer to the Office Action dated 30 August 2005.

Applicant notes the Examiner's restriction requirement whereby claims 1 and 2 stand withdrawn. The inventive group being examined is the compounds of formula 4 shown in claim 3 where Z_1 and Z_2 are CR_3 , X_1 and X_2 are selected from oxygen and sulfur and the other variables are as defined in claim 3.

Amendments have been proposed to claim 3 to delete reference to non-elected subject matter based on the Examiner's restriction requirements.

Reference to variables A_1 and A_2 as they relate to non-elected compounds of formulae 2 and 3 have been deleted.

An amendment has been proposed to claim 3 to insert a definition of variable Y. The amendment is based on the preferred compounds 5 - 12 from claim 4 where Y can be a carbonyl group or a tautomer thereof or a dialkyl group. Basis for this amendment can also be found in the description as filed.

Reference to variables R_1 and R_2 have been deleted from claim 3 following the restriction requirement to variables X_1 and X_2 .

It is submitted that claim 3 is in order for allowance as are claims 4 - 7.

At page 2 of the Office Action the Examiner requests that the first paragraph of the specification be amended to insert the status of the related applications. Applicant notes that both applications 09/693,301 and 09/575,187 are pending and therefore no amendment appears to be necessary to the details of the related applications. However, we would be happy to consider any amendment suggested by the Examiner.

At the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner states that the Applicant has not filed a certified copy of Australian provisional applications PQ0559, PQ1313, PQ3632 and PQ9376. We now enclose with this response a copy of PQ0559, PQ1313, PQ3632 and PQ9376 which should now overcome the Examiner's objection.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejections. The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance of all the claims presently under examination.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



LACHLAN EVERETT HALL



KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com
Telephone: +612 9818 6633
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762